to get away from a hand-operated system and a very expensive system and move forward into the nineties and get things done the best way possible. Can it be done in that period of time? That's the arbitrary argument. I guess I ask you to use your best judgment on whether you feel that we can get the mandates, that are being handed down in this bill, done by January 1st of 1995 or whether we need to extend it to January 1st of 1997. understand the Appropriations Committee doesn't feel a need for the bill in the event that we don't have the changes made in the dates or we don't have the dates left as they are January 1st, 1995 and they feel that we can put the other section of the bill in directing the department to report to us. I feel that the mandate of the committee at this point is to go ahead with the vote up or down on the committee amendments. the committee amendments are defeated, I don't think we're going to put up a roadblock standing in the way of the bill and, hopefully, we'll do some negotiating between now and Select File. But, at this point, I think the Transportation Committee instructed me to move forward in defense of the committee amendments and I ask you to adopt those amendments.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Byars. We will proceed with the vote on the committee amendments to LB 841. Those in favor vote aye, opposed... We're voting on the committee amendments to LB 841. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 8 ayes, 13 nays, Madam President, on adoption of committee amendments.

SENATOR CROSBY: The committee amendments are not adopted. We are now on LB 841, the bill itself. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Thank you very much, Madam President. I wanted to mention once again, the reason this bill is here is in the administration's original budget request they showed that all 93 counties would be on the system July 1, '94, and by doing so they showed a savings of \$297,000. Now you can't have the savings unless you make sure everybody is on the system. So having done that, the Appropriations Committee, as I already mentioned, introduced the bill. We felt that July 1, '94 was not workable. January 1, '95 is more possible; if a year from now the Department of Motor Vehicles cannot make it work, we'll move back the date. That's one thing, I mean, so we can still realize some savings because the longer you postpone it, it costs \$300,000 a year to keep the old system going. The